

Defining Good and Outstanding Progress at Ravenswood School 2019-20

Evaluating Progress across the school

High expectations of every child			Evaluating if the progress children make is good enough and what the data is used for:We analyse the proportion of pupils making expected progress, meeting and exceeding their challenging targets and make a judgement based on the following criteria.					
Academic Progress in English and Maths of pupils in KS1 – KS5: Using the school Assessment Framework, which is based on p-scales and End of Year expectations in the Primary curriculum we set challenging								
	for each child in Rea			Evaluation	Inadequate	Requires Improvement	Good progress	Outstanding progress
Judgement on individual pupil's progress:	Steps of progress by end of Term 2	Steps of progress by end of Term 4	Steps of progress by end of Term 6	Exceed or Meeting Challenging			More than 20% Following	More than 30% Following
Below Expected	0	0.1	0.2	Targets Blue and			Pupil committee	Pupil committee
Expected Progress	Up to 0.2	Up to 0.3	Up to 0.4	Green			25/1/17 35% or more.	25/1/17 70% or more.
Challenging Target Met	0.3	0.4	0.5					Following
Exceeded Challenging Target	0.4	0.5	0.6 +					Pupil committee 08/12/18
n KS1-3 we also tr End of year expect	ects Progress in KS ack their progress i ations of the Primar ards accredited cour	n Foundation subje	ım. KS4/ P16	Expected Progress Yellow		More than 60%	Between 50- 60% Up to 65%	75% or more Between 25- 50% Up to 30%
Judgement on individual pupil's progress:		Steps of progress over the year		Below Expected	24% or more	24% or more	24% or more	24% or more
Below Expected		Up to 0.1		Progress Red				
Expected Progress 0.2		0.2).2				ression/ next ste	
Challenging Target Met 0.		0.3					evaluate the eff	
Exceeded Challenging Target 0.4+			our provision, identify any areas for development and use this to inform					
Progress of EY pu	upils			training/ CPD	needs.			

G:\SELF EVALUATION\Defining Good and Outstanding Progress at Ravenswood School 2019-20.docx

High expectations of every child					Evaluating if the progress children make is good enough and what the data is used for:		
			ed against the dev Early Learning Go	velopmental matters bals.	This is often very small cohorts (1-3 pupils) each year, so the average score is taken to rate each EYFS Prime and Specific area to report on the progress each year as a department.		
SchoolSteps of progress inJudgementFebruary		Steps of	progress in July.	This data is used by the EYs Teacher to ensure that pupils on building or prior learning and to plan next steps for individual pupils. It is also used b			
Below ExpectedNo progressExpected0.5 steps of progressProgress1 step of progressMet Challenging Target1 step of progressExceeded Challenging Target2+ steps of progress		progress	>1 step o	f progress	EYFS Lead and Senior Leaders to assess the effectiveness of the EYFS provision, to identify any areas of weakness and plan next steps for the pupils' progress or to enhance the resources to ensure pupils can make the required progress in the future.		
		5 steps of progres	ss 1 step of	progress			
		3 steps o	f progress				
		steps of progress	ss 4+ steps of progress				
			n and Maths accr		1		
Key Stage	Start point	Expected progress	Met challenging target	Exceeded challenging target	As the cohort numbers are very small this is looked at based on individual's		
KS4	9-10	AQA unit awards	ELQ1 10	ELQ12	progress rather than looking at a specific group.		
	11-12	ELQ1 10	ELQ2 12	ELQ3 12+	This data is used by teachers to support predictions at GCSE/ Functional		
	13-14	ELQ2 12	ELQ3 14	GCSE Grade 1 (1)	Skills when pupils move to the Key Stage this also enables pupils to be set		
	15	GCSE Grade 1 (1)	GCSE Grade 1 (1.5)	they work with similar ability peers in these	effectively so that lesson activities can be more targeted at their level and so they work with similar ability peers in these subjects.		
	15	GCSE Grade	GCSE Grade 2	GCSE Grade 3	This is also used by Subject Leads and Senior Leaders to assess the		
KS5					effectiveness of the provision, to identify any areas of weakness and plan		
	9-10		FSE1	FSE 2 FSE3	next steps for the pupils' progress.		
	11-12	FSE1	FSE2				
	13-14	FSE 2	FSE 3	Level 1			
	15	FSE 3	Level 1	Level 2			
				across the school and of the academic	We analyse the proportion of pupils making good or better PSD progress, sustaining their progress, dipping in progress and deteriorating.		

G:\SELF EVALUATION\Defining Good and Outstanding Progress at Ravenswood School 2019-20.docx

High expectations of every child		Evaluating if the progress of data is used for:	Evaluating if the progress children make is good enough and what the data is used for:			
year:				Evaluation of PSD		
 Emotional development/self es Peer relationships 	steem	Criteria	Criteria			
 Relationships with school/adul Social communication 	ts		At least 80% pupils sustaining progress or making good and better progress			
School judgement - based on the	Steps of progress over		At least 60% pupils sustaining progress or making			
mode increase being satisfactory Good and outstanding progress	the year 3+		At least 50% pupils sustaining progress or making			
Satisfactory progress	Between +2 and -2	Less than 50% pupils susta	Less than 50% pupils sustaining progress or making good and better progress			
Requires improvement Inadequate	From -3 to -9 -10 or more		This data is used to assign pupils to intervention groups and to record the impact of these groups on pupil's Personal and Social Development.			
Behaviour of Pupils Minor incidents are tracked each sho number of incidents per short term.	ort term. Criteria is based on av	erage We analyse the proportion of following criteria.	^f pupils and make a judo	gement based on the		
		% showing less than 1 inc per week	cident Judgement	on Behaviour		
up to 1 per term		80-100%	Very low			
up to 1 per week		60-79%	Low			
1-2 per week		40-59%	Medium			
2-3 per week More than 4 per week		Below 40%	High	ligh		
More than 4 per week		This data is used to identify a working for and to ensure the areas and provide additional	e Behaviour Team can a	address and significant		
Serious incidents are tracked each s Criteria based on average number of		We analyse the proportion of following criteria.	We analyse the proportion of pupils and make a judgement based on the following criteria.			

High expectations of every child	Evaluating if the progress children data is used for:	n make is good enough and what the		
None	% showing none / 1 per week	Judgement		
Up to 1 per week	85-100%	Very Low		
Up to 2 per week	70-84%	Low		
More than 2 per week	50-69%	Medium		
	0-49%	High		
Restrictive Physical Intervention (RPI) used as a last resort	 support effectively to provide further support to classes with significant numbers of serious incidents. We analyse the proportion of pupils and make a judgement based on the 			
	following criteria.			
Use of RPI- Use of medium or high holds, standing or seated, following MAPA training and guidance.				
	% having no restrictions	Judgement		
Dynamic Risk assessments- where a member of staff has physically	100%	Nil		
intervened in a way that is not a MAPA trained hold following a dynamic risk	91-99%	Very Low		
assessment, which has resulted in the member of staff restricting a pupil's	76-90%	Low		
liberty in other ways, this includes- disengagements, any body blocks, any use of seclusion etc.	Up to 75%	High		
Criteria based on number of restriction in total over a long term: No restrictions 1-3 restrictions 4+ restrictions.	This data is used to track any patterns and to ensure that further training and support is provided with managing the pupils who display highly risky behaviours. This also allows us to monitor the impact of Senior leader's action plans to reduce the use of RPI.			